capacity shall be fixed afte$_r$ watching the production of the item by the com pany for a period of 3 years ending August, 1978.

(ii) The company should apply to the Govt. for fixation df final capacity alongwith the necessary production data for 3 complete years.

However, the company again submitted a representation against the aforesaid conditions and requested that the annual capacity of the formulations which were fixed provisionally in the COB licence should be fixed finally after watching their production for full three years a'fter they were assisted with necessary canalised raw materia! continuously. The re" presentation submitted by the company was last considered by the licensing committee in June, 1980. The committee however, deferred the case requesting this Deptt. to re-examine the nomenclatures of items of the manufacture to be mentioned in their revised COB licence and bring up a detailed note before the Licensing Committee. The company however, did not persue the casee further and submitted another application ifor substantial expansion. Accordingly, the company was granted an industrial licence on 18.2.1982 for the formulations after substantial expansion capacity granted under the new SE licence and the revised capacity of COB licence absorbed in it.

(c) Yes, Sir.

Price fixation of bulk drugs

.3278, PR. (SHRIMATI SAROJINI MAHISHI:

DR. RATNAKAR PANDEY:

Will the Minister of INDUSTRY be pleased to state:

(a) what are the names of the bilk drugs for which prices have bee$_n$ re-vised|fixed under DPCO. 1987;

(b) what were the earlier prices of these bulk drugs and what are their present prices;

(c) what is the retention price and the common price for fixation of prices of formulation: in each case; and

(d) what is the percentage increase in the price and when representation, against the price fixed was receive^ in each case and what is the present position?

THE MINISTER OF INDUSTRY (SHRI J. VENGAL RAO): (a) and (b) Information, to t'he extent available, is given in the Statement. (See fce'.ow) .

(c) Only one maximum retail price is fixed for price controlled formulations, pack-wise.

(d) Review petitions of the companies are disposed Of in accordance with the provisions conta ined in CO. 1987.

Statement

Sl.	Name of the drug	Unit No.	Price under DPCO, 1979 Rs.	Price under DPCO, '87	%increase
1	2	3	4	5	6
1	Benzathine Penicillin G	Kg,	1271.00	1639.80	29-02
2	Sulphadiazine .....,		219.72	461.00	109.81
3	Quinine Sulnhate Govt. Quinine Factory West Bengal	»		2182.00	
	Govt. Quinine Factory Tamilnadu . „			1232-00	
4	Quinine Sulphate Govt. Quinine Factory West Bengal.....		1632-53	4067.00	149.12

1	2	3	4	5	6
	Govt. Quinine Factory Tamilnadu		1632.53	2892.00	77.1 [5]
5	Psoudo Ephcdrine Hcl.		1400-00	1621.00	15- 7*
6	Pseudo Bphedrine Sulphate		1321.00	1529.00	15.75
7	Sulphadimidine .		219-00	258-40	17.99
8	Theophyline			224-36	
9	Prednisolone		17475.00	22420.76	28-30
10	Prednisolone Acetate .		17762.00	26451-33	48-92
11	Hydrocortisone .		15513.00	19143-59	23-40
12	Hydrocortisone Acetate		14043.00	17572-76	25.14
13	Sodium PAS M/s.lDPL		116.80	130.00	11.30
	M/s. Biochemicals & Synthetic Products		116-80	145-00 138-00	24.14 for formulat
14	Calcium B. PAS		100-40	129-00	28-49
15	Aeetazolamide	»	672-00	746.00	11-01
16	Ephtdrine Hcl		847.00	1016-00	19.95
17	Chloramphenicol Powder (made Ex benzyldehyde) .	>	849-00	1035-00	21-91
18	Thiacetazone	»>	148.90	216.00	45.06
19	Demethylchlorotetracycline .		1361-26	1951-00	43.32
20	Metronidazole		450-00	453-30	0.73
			62.00	80.00	29.03

Distribution of products of M/$_8$ Infar

3279. DR. (SHRIMATI) SAROJINI MAHISHI: Will the Minister of INDUSTRY be pleased to state:

(a) whether it is a fact that M/s. Infar have tied up with Martin and Harris for sale/distribution Of its products;

(b) if so, what are the details of the arrangements made in this regard; and

(c) what steps Government have taken to prevent such arrangements?

THE MINISTER OF STATE IN THE DEPARTMENT OF INDUSTRIAL DEVELOPMENT IN THE MINISTRY OF INDUSTRY (SHRI M. ARUNACHALAM): (a) to (c) Approval of Central Government is required under Section 297 of the Companies Act 1956 for certain contracts in which Directors are interested or under Section 294AA(2) &(3) of '-he Companies Act 1956 for the appointment of sole selling agents. No approval of the Central Government has been sought by M/s. Infar (India) Ltd., Calcutta, under these provisions during the last 5 years.

Monitoring the sales turnover of drug com. parties

3280. SHRI M. KADHARSHA: Will the Minister of INDUSTRY be pleased to refer to the answer to Unstarred Question 1414 given in the Rajya Sabha on the 9th May, 1988 and state:

(a) whether it is a fact that Forms 2,4, and 6 of DPCO, 1987 which are received